Course Description:

On March 4th, 2009, the International Criminal Court (ICC) in the Hague issued an arrest warrant for the current president of Sudan, Omar Hassan Ahmad al-Bashir, for war crimes and crimes against humanity (but not genocide!) related to the ongoing slaughter in Darfur. Since genocidal acts resulting in the deaths of hundreds of thousands of innocent civilians have been occurring in Darfur for the last half-decade, many human rights proponents worry that this exercise of modern international law is ineffective: too little, too late. Others worry that the ICC has set a dangerous precedent by—for the first time in history—indicting a sitting head of state. Does this move suggest a growing role for international law in general, and the ICC in particular, in international relations? (If so, who is going to go arrest him?)

Even beyond the walls of the ICC, former rulers have increasingly stood trial for sanctioning illegal activities during their time in office. While the example of Saddam Hussein in Iraq provides one example, a better case is provided by former President of Liberia, Charles Taylor, who is sitting in a jail cell in the Netherlands awaiting trial on a 650-count indictment for war crimes and crimes against humanity relating to the attacks of rebels in Sierra Leone. How is that possible, and how did he get there in the first place? And how does this bode for American leaders of the Bush, and now Obama administrations when it comes to issues of prisoner interrogation, torture, and the indefinite detention of foreign nationals without trial at a detention center at its military base in Guantanamo Bay, in lieu of due process and against the protests of most other countries? How did we get here? What legal price is to be paid? By whom? To whom?

In the summer of 2007, representatives of the Russian Federation sent a submarine to plant a Russian flag on the ocean floor at the North Pole to stake a symbolic claim to the pole as an extension of the Siberian continental shelf, and to the mineral wealth that is thought to be in that area of the Arctic Ocean. Can they do that? Are the Russian claims legal? Who decides?

Speaking of Russia, over the past year, the European Court of Human Rights has made a series of rulings against the Russian government concerning the disappearance of ethnic Chechen civilians by the Russian military, though Russian compliance with the court and its rulings is completely voluntary. If the court cannot enforce its decision, what then is the point?

These recent developments all hit at the very core of international law. Yet despite the widespread invocation of the concept of “international law,” there is a surprising amount of disagreement as to both its effectiveness, and even some of its foundational principles. The purpose of this course is to explore the general principles of—and current debates surrounding—international law in order to more fully understand its roles both as an instrument of, and a constraint on, state action.
Assignments and Grading:
Grading will be based upon participation in class, a case-study assignment, a leaders-on-trial project, a term paper, a midterm exam and a final exam. Each assignment is described in greater detail below.

The grade breakdown is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class participation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-term exam</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-study paper</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaders-on-trial project</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term paper</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final exam</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Class Participation (10%)
Due to the fast-moving nature of an intensive summer course, it is necessary that you attend all classes and be involved in the classroom discussions. Of course, missing a class does not exempt you from the materials covered on that day. You may want to exchange e-mail addresses with some of your classmates to get notes and other materials you might have missed. Just showing up to class will not ensure that you receive a satisfactory class participation grade. You will be expected to come to class having read all of the assigned readings for that day, and had time to think critically about them. Ensuring that everyone is familiar with the arguments put forth in the various readings is the minimum condition for developing an effective classroom environment. Attendance will not be tabulated daily, however if I don’t know who you are by the end of the semester—do not expect a passing class participation grade.

Mid-Term Exam (20%)
The midterm will be held in class on Thursday, July 2, 2009, and will count for 25% of your final grade.
The exam will be a combination of multiple-choice, short answer and a choice of a variety of longer, analytical essay questions that will require you to use the class materials to think critically about a question that may have not been directly addressed in class. Lecture and discussion materials, as well as topics covered in the assigned readings—whether addressed directly in lecture—are all fair game for exam questions. More details will be given as the exam approaches.

If you require additional time to complete the exam due to circumstances as registered with the Division of Disability Resources and Educational Services, or other considerations (such as using English as a second language), I have no problem in accommodating your needs as long as you contact me at least a week beforehand so that I can make the necessary arrangements.

Note: Make-up exams will only be granted under extreme circumstances, resulting either from 1) genuine family emergencies, 2) travel associated with university obligations (academic or athletic), or 3) severe illness or injury. If you are traveling due to university obligations, you must inform us at least one week prior to the event. If you are genuinely ill or injured, you will need proper documentation from your physician. These regulations will be rigorously enforced!!!

International Law Case Study (10%)
Perhaps the most frustrating element of the study of international law is that authors and experts often make references to a myriad of different legal cases in a rather flippant and offhand manner, assuming that you, of course, know all about each of these disputes and the implications of their resolution. I do not expect that you know the ins and outs of every case that you come across in the assigned readings, but this case study assignment will help you to become at least somewhat more familiar with the substance of international law by delving into some detail into one particular case of your choice.

This assignment will require you to write a 1-2 page single spaced executive summary of a major dispute in international law from a list of about 500 such cases that I will provide for you. You may not consider a case discussed previously in lecture, or a case involving the criminal prosecution of heads of state or heads of government, as that will be covered in a separate section. The summary should include the following elements:
1) The litigants              2) A brief background of the dispute
3) The form of dispute resolution (ICJ, third party arbitration, etc.)
4) Some discussion of jurisdiction (Why is this court empowered to judge this matter?)
5) The judgment              6) Whether (or how) the judgment was enforced
And most importantly, 7) Why we should care (or alternately, what precedent or lasting impact does this case decision have for the development of international law?)

This case study will help you to develop some expertise in an area of international law of your choosing, and facilitate more in-depth participation in class. The case study will be due in class on Thursday, July 9, 2009. As explained in more detail below, breaches of academic integrity, including plagiarism, will be dealt with severely.

Leaders-On-Trial Project (10%)

From Slobodan Milosevic and Saddam Hussein to Charles Taylor and Omar al Bashir, the last decade has witnessed a surge in the number of cases of heads of state and heads of government being charged for war crimes, human rights violations, and corruption the world over. As a means to facilitate a livelier classroom discussion on and comparison of these developments, each student will be required to investigate the criminal cases associated with the criminal prosecution of a particular world leader.

Like the case-study assignment, the leaders-on-trial project will require you to write a 1-2 page (single spaced) executive summary of the case for the prosecution of a particular world leader from a list of about 70 such cases that I will provide for you. The summary should include the following elements:
1) The litigant.              2) The charges.
3) The form of dispute resolution (ICC, domestic courts, ad hoc tribunals, etc.)
4) Some discussion of jurisdiction (Why is this court empowered to judge this matter?)
5) The judgment              6) Whether (or how) the judgment was enforced. (Appeals?)
And most importantly, 7) Why we should care (or alternately, what precedent or lasting impact does this case decision have for the development of international law?)

The leaders-on-trial project will be due in class on Thursday, July 23, 2009. As explained in more detail below, breaches of academic integrity, including plagiarism, will be dealt with severely.

Term Paper (25%)

In addition to an in-class final exam, you will have a choice of two options for an 8-10 page term paper, which is to be handed-in on Tuesday, August 4, 2009. Regardless of the option chosen, you must submit both a hard-copy and electronic version of your final project. (This is so that I can grade the paper version to be handed back to you, while retaining an electronic copy which can be run through a computer program to uncover possible plagiarism of print and electronic sources, including other students’ submissions in this class and papers from so-called paper “mills” used at other colleges and universities. This is, unfortunately, a well-justified concern.)

A good paper will be both persuasive and informative—meaning that not only should the facts be correct, but also your argument should be comprehensive (meaningfully addressing potential rival explanations), balanced, well-organized and well-written, making appropriate use of a wide range of supporting information and evidence.

Option 1:
Following the midterm, I will distribute a topic question for you to consider. It will not require additional outside research to answer, but it will require combining and synthesizing multiple topics covered in the second half of the course with a substantial amount of original thought and analysis.

Option 2:
The second option is to write a research paper concerning some area of direct relevance to the study of international law, often culled from the topics covered in the second half of the semester. Acceptable research papers will only be conducted under my advisement. This option requires additional outside research, but may be ultimately more rewarding for students who wish to further their interests in a particular aspect of international law.

Additional Deadlines for Research Paper (Option 2)
The research paper will be due in class on Tuesday, August 4, 2009, however, to ensure that you are making progress in your research, it is necessary to establish additional deadlines. First, if you chose the research paper, you must submit to me—either in hard-copy form or via e-mail—a statement of your preliminary research topic and thesis question, by Wednesday, July 15, 2009. Broad topic declarations such as “I want to study human rights” are too vague—a concrete topic question is required, and can be worked-
out in conjunction with the professor. Usually addressing topics with “how” or “why” questions can help: asking instead “how can the international community make economic sanctions more effective?”

Supplementing such questions with some notion of how your research question “matters”—in terms of furthering our understanding of international law—is also a plus.

Second, if your research question has been approved, you will need to submit a brief bibliography and conceptual outline of your paper by no later than Wednesday, July 22, 2009. This should help keep you on schedule, and prevent the sudden appearance of last-minute (and usually highly dubious) research papers. Finally, I cannot stress this enough: if you miss either of these deadlines, it will be assumed that you are no longer engaged in the research paper project, and will be defaulted into doing option #1.

Final Exam (25%)

The final exam will be held on the final day of class, 10:00am — 11:45am, Thursday, August 6, 2009, and will count for 25% of your final grade. The final exam will be somewhat similar to the midterm, however as the “analytical” portion will be covered through the term paper assignment, the final will consist solely of multiple-choice and short-answer questions. All the same conditions apply with regards to coverage, make-up exams, and other considerations. The previously-stated regulations as they pertain to the conditions under which make-up exams will be permitted will likewise be rigorously enforced!!!

Class Policies:

Grading

All assignments will be graded on a 100-point scale which can be used to translate your number grade into a letter grade. At the end of the semester, your final grade will be calculated by adding-up the grades on each assignment in proportion to the weights assigned to them above.

Grade Entitlement

A recent UC Irvine study found that 1/3 of all students surveyed expected a course grade of “B” just for attending lectures, while 40 percent expected a “B” simply for completing the required readings. Moreover 2/3 of all students surveyed claimed that explaining to a professor that they “worked hard,” it should be factored into the calculation of a grade. This trend increasingly mistakes effort for quality of work. I strive to objectively assess the quality of assignments in this class against a uniform standard, nothing more. Course grades should not be thought to be a reflection of either the amount of effort exerted or some sort of evaluation of the personal worth of the student.

Make-Up Exams

Make-up exams will only be granted under extreme circumstances, resulting either from 1) genuine family emergencies, 2) travel associated with university obligations (academic or athletic), or 3) severe illness or injury. If you are traveling due to university obligations, you must inform me at least two weeks prior to the event. If you are genuinely ill or injured, you will need documentation from your physician. These regulations will be rigorously enforced!!!

Late Assignments

Written assignments turned-in after the due date and time indicated will be penalized one full letter grade (ten points) for each full day that it is late. Therefore, an otherwise “100” quality paper turned-in one day late would become at best a “90” two days late would become at best an “80,” and so forth, all the way down to zero. As all of the deadlines are clearly articulated here in the syllabus from day one so they should come as no surprise, no extensions will be granted, based in part on the same premise as the policy on extra credit (see below).

Questions on Grading

Invariably throughout the semester, students have questions about the grade they received on a particular assignment that goes beyond the written comments. If you feel that you did not deserve the grade you received on a given assignment, I am certainly open to discussing the matter. However, I insist upon the following:

1) A 24-hour “cooling off” period. Please do not approach me with questions about “why did I receive this grade” within 24-hours of having your test or assignment returned to you. This will allow you time to re-read the comments, and understand whatever shortcomings may be in question.
2) **Come to office hours.** I will not discuss individual grades during, before or after class. Questions about grades will only be considered in face-to-face discussions during office hours. In the end, it is exceedingly rare that a grade will be altered—but if you can persuade me that the evaluation was in error, I am generally not opposed to re-evaluating the grade, though I do, of course, reserve the right to revise the score upward or downward as the re-evaluation warrants it.

**Plagiarism and Academic Integrity**

Breaches of the University of Illinois Student Code, especially Article 1, Part 4 concerning breaches of Academic Integrity (such as cheating on exams and plagiarism) are serious business. Not only are such activities contrary to your entire purpose in attending college and official university policy, but we also consider such breaches to be a personal affront as both instructors and a competent human beings—so consider this your prior warning: if blatant breaches of academic integrity are discovered, I will prosecute them to the fullest extent.

Please familiarize yourself with the Student Code, available online at: [http://www.admin.uiuc.edu/policy/code/](http://www.admin.uiuc.edu/policy/code/).

The Penalties for Infractions of Academic Integrity (§ 1-403) are steep, and you should be aware of them: at a minimum, you will flunk the assignment or test, and likely, the entire class. Moreover, you may be brought before an ethics review tribunal, where it will be recommended that you be suspended not only from UIUC, but the entire University of Illinois system. Note will be made of your transgressions in your university file—which will be made known to any other college that you may look to enroll in. Needless to say, most universities would be reluctant to accept a known cheater or plagiarizer to their institutions, and many employers would be reluctant to hire someone with such marks in their file. So, long story short: don’t waste my time, don’t waste your time—just don’t do it. Please feel free to contact me with any questions you may have on this issue so that they can be easily resolved before the assignments are completed!

**Extra Credit?**

**No.** Let me clarify that: if there comes a situation where extra credit would be appropriate, it would be offered on an equal-opportunity basis to all students, and that would be exceptionally rare. Individual extra-credit opportunities distort the baseline of evaluating every student by the same standard (as do requests for extensions). This is to say, please do not approach us at the end of the semester asking for some extra-credit opportunity to make-up for a poor performance on an earlier assignment. While you might think that such a request conveys a notion of additional dedication to achievement in the course, in reality, the message received is quite different: such requests are based on two implicit assumptions: 1) that the student in question wishes to be held to a different (lower) standard than the rest of the class, and 2) that the professor is willing to bend the rules and sacrifice objective standards of evaluation. As a result, I tend to find such requests to be rather off-putting, even though that was surely not the student’s intention, which is subsequently why I feel compelled to spell-out the justifications for this policy here in the syllabus.

**Online Lectures and Materials?**

**No.** Let me clarify that: lecture notes or slides will not be posted online, so please do not ask for them to be. Similarly, review sheets are not used in this class. It is not my duty to provide notes to you: *I cannot do the learning for you.* I suggest that you exchange your e-mail and contact information with the people seated next to you (or other friends in the class) to obtain notes if you happen to miss lecture on a given day. This interpersonal contact may stimulate the development of study groups, and has generally been the way courses have been conducted since time immemorial.

**Why not?** Here are my reasons, in order of ascending importance: 1) Technical issues: the huge file size precludes uploading. 2) Legal issues: effectively “publishing” lectures online violates the “fair use” doctrine of intellectual property rights protections, by which we may utilize many of the copyright-protected images and other lecture materials. 3) Negative effects on attendance and grades: pedagogical studies have shown that online materials, notes, and review sheets lead not only to dramatic drops in attendance, but also grades. Students tend to become overly-reliant on the printed word, which is often just a stepping-off point for a more important discussion (which in turn is missed if all you focus on is the content written on the power-point slides). These studies demonstrate that students become passive observers rather than active participants in learning, leading to worse correspondingly worse grades. 4) Finally, there is the more existential aspect: if the entire learning experience boils-down to an instructor writing outlines and students reading them, what is the point? What is my *raison-d’être* at this university: professor, or outline-writer? For that matter, what is yours: student, or outline-consumer? To that end, why not just get an online degree? The answer to all of these questions is in the mix: the traditional dynamic of classroom lec-
tures, discussions, and the exchange between instructors and students that is the hallmark of the university learning experience, which prepares you to become a well-rounded and competent individual, and ultimately prepares you for life outside of academia, where very little is scripted in advance, and available on-demand.

All of these elements are damaged, rather than helped, by posting lectures, notes, slides, and other review materials online. I heartily suggest that, in lieu of such aids, that you take responsibility for your own education. Come to class. Raise questions in class or during office hours. Use e-mail. Post questions on the online review website. Create your own study groups. It is my responsibility to teach, it is your responsibility to learn; and while I will do my best to help you in that endeavor, you likewise have an important role to play.

Living in the Computer Age

Computers are great. Ever more, computers are an integral part of the learning experience. Much of the readings are available online, you’ll probably do a great deal of research online, and write a paper or two on your computer. But as we all know, networks and computers are not always reliable—computers crash, networks fail, printers jam and run out of ink—these are the realities, and we must all confront them. Experience has shown that computers disproportionately tend to crash the night before an exam or assignment is due. As a competent adult, it is up to you to take responsibility and plan ahead for such eventualities. Back-up your files to the web or an external drive. As an excuse for not being able to access online materials or being able to complete an assignment on time, “my computer crashed” will garner little sympathy.

Respect

A key aspect of maintaining an interesting and energetic classroom environment is to ensure that all participants in discussions feel free to express their ideas and opinions without fear of scoffs or condescension. To that end, it is incumbent upon everyone to treat everyone—and their ideas—with respect, especially when another student’s perceptions may not align with your own. Of course when speaking of politically charged topics disagreements will surely arise, yet please be aware of and show respect for others’ ideas and feelings. If at any time you feel offended, please try to raise your concerns in a constructive, level-headed manner—either in class, with me directly, or even anonymously—to amicably resolve the situation. Many times, interpersonal conflicts are rooted in simple misunderstandings, other times they reflect serious issues that need to be resolved before continuing.

Accessibility

Generally speaking, I am a fairly easy person to get in contact with. I encourage you to e-mail me with questions, clarifications, and concerns as they appear throughout the semester, and I especially encourage you to come speak with me face-to-face during my office hours, or by appointment: nothing beats old fashioned interpersonal interaction. Additionally, preceding the exams, I will open-up a discussion tab on the Compass website for review where you can feel free to post questions and build upon the concerns of others. For questions asked of me personally on the review thread, I will try to answer them as quickly as possible, given my other obligations.

Research Resources

Some useful websites for advanced research on international law include:

- American Society of International Law (http://www.asil.org/)
- Foreign Affairs Online (http://www.people.virginia.edu/~rjb3v/il.html)
- International Court of Justice (http://www.icj-cij.org/)
- United Nations General International Law (http://www.un.org/law/)
- Collection of International Law Links (http://www.law.ecel.uwa.edu.au/intlaw/)
- Harvard University Law School Library’s Foreign and International Law Resources (http://www.law.harvard.edu/library/ref/ils_ref/annotated/)

Course Website:

This syllabus, along with relevant class announcements, review materials, reading assignments, and other information will be available on the course website, located at http://compass.uiuc.edu.
Assigned Readings:
The following book is available for purchase at your local university bookstores.


In addition, a course reading packet that will be available for purchase at Notes & Quotes (502 East John Street, http://www.notes-n-quotes.com), as well as being available on electronic reserve through the Undergraduate Library website, while additional materials will be posted on the class website through Compass.

Assigned Readings and Organization of the Course:

Section I: International Law Concepts and Theories

Week 1: Course Introduction; International Law: Evolution, Concepts, Sources

Tuesday, June 16; Wednesday, June 17; Thursday, June 18

Required Readings:


Week 2: Approaches to International Law; IL Meets International Relations Theory

Tuesday, June 23; Wednesday, June 24; Thursday, June 25

Required Readings:


Week 3: Cooperation and Compliance with International Law
Tuesday, June 30; Wednesday, July 1; Thursday, July 2

Required Readings:

Section II: Topics in International Law

Week 4: Intellectual Property and International Trade Law; Environmental Protection
Tuesday, July 7; Wednesday, July 8; Thursday, July 9
—Case Study Assignment Due, Thursday, July 9

Required Readings:

Week 5: Borders and Jurisdiction; Piracy and Terrorism
Tuesday, July 14; Wednesday, July 15; Thursday, July 16
Wednesday, July 15—Topic statement & thesis question due for Option #2

Required Readings:
Week 6: War Initiation, War Crimes & Genocide; Sanctions & Interventions  
**Tuesday, July 21; Wednesday, July 22; Thursday, July 23**  
**Wednesday, July 22—Conceptual outline & bibliography due for Option #2.**  
**Thursday, July 23—Leaders-on-trial project due.**  

**Required Readings:**  

Week 7: Human Rights & Prisoners of War  
**Tuesday, July 28; Wednesday, July 29; Thursday, July 30**  

**Required Readings:**  
Optional Reading—Declassified interrogation documents available on the Compass website:


Week 8: Arms Control & Nonproliferation; Conclusions
Tuesday, August 4; Wednesday, August 5; Thursday, August 6

Term Paper Due, Tuesday, August 4
Final Exam, Thursday, August 6

Required Readings: